INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN PHARMACY AND CHEMISTRY

Available online at www.ijrpc.com

Research Article

SIMPLE HPLC METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF CEFIXIME, OFLOXACIN AND LINEZOLID IN SOLID DOSAGE FORMS

Manchuru Vanaja* and J. Sreeramulu

Department of Chemistry, Sri Krishnadevaraya University, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Cefixime, Ofloxacin and Linezolid three drugs can be used to treat bacterial infections. Each drug works with different mechanism. Stability indicating RP- HPLC method was developed for Cefixime, Ofloxacin and Linezolid quantification in tablet dosage form. RP-HPLC method was validated with precision, specificity, accuracy, ruggedness, robustness and linearity parameters. Liquid chromatographic conditions are mobile phase A: 0.5M KH₂PO₄ in HPLC grade water and mobile phase B: Acetonitrile, Agilent make Zorbax SB-C18, 100 x 4.6mm, 5µm, 280 nm, 1.0ml/min, 25 min (gradient program: mobile phase B at 0min 5%, 5min 5%, 10 min 16%, 14 min 16%, 17 min 34%, 20 min 5% and 25 min 5%. All validation results shown the accuracy results and % RSD for test area, %assay values were also within the limits. This HPLC method can be used to analyze the regular product quality control purpose.

Keywords: Cefixime, Ofloxacin, Linezolid, Tablets dosage form, Method development and validation.

INTRODUCTION

Cefixime is belongs to cephalosporins and it is an anti-biotic medicinal product. Cefixime fights for bacterial infections¹. Cefixime is used to treat Gonorrhea, urinary and respiratory track bacterial infections and middle ear infection². Cefixime inhibits the bio-synthesis of cell walls. Cefixime medicinal product was approved in United States in 1989. Brand names of this drug product are Suprax, Taxim O, Texit, Cef-3, Denvar, 3-C and Zifi. Side effects of Cefixime are headache, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, bloating, vaginal fungal infection, intestinal infection³.

Ofloxacin is an antibiotic and used to treat bacterial infections like cellulitis, pneumonia, urinary tract infections, plague and prostatitis⁴. USFDA was approved this antibiotic drug in 1985 and world health organization listed as essential medicines⁵. Most frequent side effects are vomiting, diarrhea, insomnia, headache, dizziness, nausea and itching⁵.

Linezolid is an antibacterial oxazolidinone class drug product and used to treat pneumonia and skin infections. But, linezolid cannot work for colds, flu and other viral infections⁶. Safe antibiotic if prescribed for short period and common side effects are diarrhea, rash, nausea and headache⁷⁻⁸.

Chemical structures of Cefixime, Ofloxacin and linezolid were represented in figure-1. Table-1 represented the marketed combination products of Cefixime, Ofloxacin and linezolid. Cefixime and Ofloxacin are available in the market in solid dosage form with multiple strengths. Ofloxacin and Linezolid are available in solid dosage combination form. Literature survey reveals the few reported methods on HPLC, LCMS, TLC methods for the determination of cefixime-ofloxacin, cefixime-linezolid⁹⁻¹⁴. Naga M *et al.*, (2017), Ghimire S et. al., (2018) and Hassouna ME et. al., (2017), Prabhu S et.al., (2010) were reported the RP-HPLC methods to determine cefixime-ofloxacin separately and cefiximelinezolid separately. There is no reported method to determine the three components by using simple HPLC method. Hence, the necessity of the HPLC method requirement was understood. The objective of this present research work is to develop a stability indicating HPLC method for the determination of three active components such as Cefixime, Ofloxacin, and Linezolid in combination solid dosage forms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS MATERIALS

The Chromatographic system consisted of 1100 agilent separation module which provides quaternary solvent, 100 vial capacity, column heater and cooler module, VWD UV detector. In this research authors were tried with different makes of HPLC columns were checked but eventually, Agilent Zorbax SB-C18, 4.6x100 mm, 5 µm particle size was suitable. Cefixime, Ofloxacin, Linezolid standard materials were obtained from Aptuit Laurus Laboratroies Hyderabad.

MOBILE PHASE AND SOLUTIONS PREPARATION

Preparation of mobile phase A

Measured, 1000ml distilled water with class-A measuring cylinder and add 6.8 g of KH_2PO_4 resulting solution was degassed with 0.45µ filter paper.

Mobile Phase-B

HPLC grade Acetonitrile was used. Measured volume was sonicated for 5minutes using the sonicator and filtered using the vacuum pump.

Diluent solution

Put 250 mL of mobile phase A, 250 mL of Acetonitrile into a 1000ml beaker. The resulting solution was mixed for some time to get homogeneous dilution solution.

HPLC conditions

Column : Agilent Zorbax SB- C18, 100 x4.6mm, 5μmFlow rate: 1.0 mL/minuteDetection: 280 nmInjection Volume: 20 μLColumn temperature: 30°CAnalysis time: 25 minutes

Mobile Phase Elution Gradient Program Standard solution

50 mg of Cefixime standard, 50 mg Ofloxacin standard and 150 mg of Linezolid were weighed accurately with calibrated analytical balance and transferred into a 100 mL volumetric flask. 50 mL of diluent was added to dissolve the contents and mixed well. Remaining volume was filled and mixed. 1.0ml of this solution was pipetted and transferred in to 50 ml class A volumetric flask and diluted with diluent.

Preparation of Cefixime and Ofloxacin Sample Solution

Randomly selected 20 tablets and weighed individually and calculated the average weight of one tablet and prepared the fine powder. Equivalent to 50 mg of Cefixime and Ofloxacin tablets powder was weighed and transferred into 100 mL volumetric flask. 50 ml of diluent was added and dissolve the content by using hand shake and sonication for 10 minutes. Further volume was diluted with diluent. Stock solution was filtered with what man filter. 1 mL of the above solution was transferred into a 50 mL volumetric flask and diluted.

Preparation of Cefixime and Linezolid Sample Solution

Randomly selected 20 tablets and weighed individually and calculated the average weight of one tablet and prepared the fine powder. Equivalent to 50 mg of Cefixime and 150 mg Linezolid tablets powder was weighed and transferred into 100 mL volumetric flask. 50 ml of diluent was added and dissolve the content by using hand shake and sonication for 10 minutes. Further volume was diluted with diluent. Stock solution was filtered with whatman filter. 1 mL of the above solution was transferred into a 50 mL volumetric flask and diluted.

% component (Cefixime, Ofloxacin and Linezolid) value calculation formula

Tarea X Tweight X 1 X 100 X 50 X Label claim X Potency Sarea X 100 X 50 X Sweight X 1X Tablet weight X 100 X 100

In the above calculation formula, Tarea is Peak area from sample preparation; Sarea is Average peak area from standard solution; Tweight is weight of standard taken in mg; Sweight is the weight of standard solution.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION HPLC method optimization

Three components standard materials (Cefixime, Ofloxacin and linezolid) were analysed for solubility study, UV spectroscopic study and pKa estimation. UV absorbance was represented in figure-2 for Cefixime, Ofloxacin and linezolid. UV spectrums confirmed the wavelength absorbance values and based on the absorbance of three components, UV wavelength was measured at 280 nm.

Solubility results reveals that Cefixime has high polarity and Ofloxacin has medium polarity and linezolid has less polar than other two components. Based on the understanding of the literature published methods, development trails were initiated with acetate buffer and methanol composed mobile phase. Less carbon C8 250 mm column was used, 280 nm, 20µL injection volume, 30°C column oven temperature was used.

Development trial-1 Conditions

1. 0.25 M ammonium acetate used as buffer; 2. Buffer and Acetonitrile mixed in the ratio of 28:72 v/v, isocratic elution; 3. Intertsil C8 250x4.6mm,5 μ column; 4. Flow rate 1.0ml/min, 30°C column temperature, 280 nm; 20 μ L injection volume.

Observation

All three peaks were eluted but Cefixime peak shape was eluted near void volume of the column with poor peak shape. Further optimization carried out by changing the HPLC column and gradient program. Development trial mixed sample chromatogram was represented in figure 3.

Development trial-2 Conditions

1. 0.5M of ammonium acetate used as mobile phase A; 2. Acetonitrile used as mobile phase B; 4. Intertsil ODS-3 250x4.6mm,5µ column; 5. 1.0ml/min flow rate, 40°C column oven temperature, wavelength 280 nm; 6. Gradient program at 0 min 20% mobile phase B, at 8 min 20%, at 15 min 70%, at 22 min 70%, at 23 min 20% and at 27 min 20%; 7. Diluent: mobile phase A and B 50:50 v/v.

Observation

All three peaks were eluted after the column void volume but blank interference was observed. Blank interference should be minimized with mobile phase buffer and gradient program. Development trial mixed sample chromatogram was represented in figure 4.

Development trial-3 Conditions

1. 0.5M of KH_2PO_4 used as mobile phase A; 2. Acetonitrile used as mobile phase B; 4. Zorbax C18 100x4.6mm,5µ column; 5. 1.0ml/min pump mobile phase flow rate, 40°C column oven temperature, wavelength 280 nm; 6. Gradient program at 0 min 5% mobile phase B, at 5 min 5%, at 10 min 16%, at 14 min 16%, at 17 min 40% 20 min 5% and at 25 min 5%; 7. Diluent: mobile phase A and B 50:50 v/v.

Observation

All three peaks were eluted with good peak shape and no interference was observed at all three product peaks. Slight modification required for linezolid peak early elution. Development trial mixed sample chromatogram was represented in figure 5.

Method validation

Optimized HPLC method procedure was evaluated with method validation parameters such as precision, linearity, specificity, accuracy, ruggedness and robustness. % RSD for replicate standard solutions and replicate test solutions were calculated, linearity correlation coefficient was evaluated, recovery %RSD was evaluated.

System suitability

System suitability was evaluated with freshly prepared standard solutions. Five replicate standard solution injections were performed and calculated the %RSD for retention time and peak area. Other parameters theoretical plates and tailing factor were measured. Peak purity of three components was checked. System suitability results were tabulated in table-3. Blank, placebo and standard solution chromatograms were represented in figure-6, 7 and 8. %RSD values were within the limit 2.0%.

Precision

Precision also called as repeatability. Precision parameter was performed with six replicate test solutions preparations. Six replicate solutions were injected in to the HPLC system. Peak area, %RSD results were calculated and tabulated in table-4. Test solution of cefixime and Ofloxacin, cefixime and linezolid were represented in figure-9 and 10. Precision results were satisfactory and %RSD values were below 2.0%.

Specificity

Specificity parameter is used to evaluate the interference from blank, placebo, known and stress study un-known impurities. Stress studies acid, base, peroxide, thermal and UV light conditions were evaluated. Figure-11 to 20 represented the all stress studies chromatograms for cefixime-ofloxacin and cefixime-linezolid test samples. Table-5, 6 and 7 represented the stress study conditions and results. Results were satisfactory and all unknown impurities were separated and have no interference with products.

Linearity

Linearity parameter was evaluated with standard solution by preparing five different concentrations. Linearity levels are 50%, 75%, 100%, 125% and 150% concentrations. All five linearity solutions were injected into the HPLC system and calculated the correlation coefficient values. Correlation coefficient was calculated for concentration versus peak area. Results were tabulated in table-8 and linearity solutions overlay chromatogram was

represented in figure-21 and linearity graphs were represented in figure-22 to 24. Results were satisfactory, correlation coefficient values were above 0.999.

Accuracy

Accuracy was evaluated to establish the of the components. recoverv Different concentration of active components was added to the placebo (constant concentration for all accuracy levels). Accuracy levels 50%, 75%, 100%, 125% and 150% were evaluated. 50% and 150% were performed with six preparations replicate and remaining concentration levels were three replications. Accuracy recovery and %RSD were calculated and tabulated in table-9. % recovery results were between 97% to 103% and %RSD values were below 2.0%.

Ruggedness

Sample solutions were used to perform ruggedness of the HPLC method. Precision test samples 1 and 2 were used to perform solution stability at room temperature and refrigerator storage conditions. Post analysis of precision 1 and 2 samples were kept at room temperature and refrigerator conditions. Analysis was performed at day-1 and day 3. Samples assay values were calculated and % assay difference found below 2.0%. Results were tabulated in table-10.

Robustness

Robustness of the method was evaluated by changing the chromatographic conditions like mobile phase flow rate, column oven temperature. System suitability was conducted to check the variation changes and results were satisfactory. Retention time, area %RSD, theoretical plates and tailing factor results were tabulated in table-11.

CONCLUSION

Stable and rugged HPLC method was developed for the quantitative determination of Cefixime, Ofloxacin and Linezolid in solid dosage form. Cefixime-Ofloxacin is available in tablet combination dosage form and Cefixime and Linezolid also available in tablets dosage form. Optimized method was evaluated with precision, linearity, specificity, ruggedness and robustness validation parameters. %RSD for area (not more than 2.0%), % recovery (between 97% - 103%), % of degradation, Correlation coefficient (not less than 0.999) and variation change difference (mobile phase flow rate, column oven temperature) were evaluated and results were satisfactory.



Fig. 1: Chemical structures of Cefixime, Linezolid and Linezolid



Fig. 2: UV spectrum of Cefixime, Ofloxacin and Linezolid



Fig. 3: Method development trial-1 chromatogram











Fig. 7: Placebo Chromatogram

























Fig. 18: Cefixime and Linezolid Peroxide stress study chromatogram







Fig. 22: Cefixime Linearity graph







Fig. 24: Linezolid Linearity graph

Manchuru Vanaja et al.

Brand name	Company name	Composition
EUROX-O tablets	Health guard	
MILIXIM-O tablets	Glenmark	Cofiving 200 mg and Oflavasia 200 mg
MYCEF PLUS tablets	Amro Pharma	Cellxime 200 mg and Ofloxacin 200 mg
OFCEF tablets	JB chemicals	Centrine 100 mg and Onoxacin 100 mg
RINTAX PLUS tablets	Octane biotech	
LINCEF tablets	Alkem	
Linezonix –CF	Phoenix	Cofiving 200 mg Lipozolid 600 mg
Lizomac-CX	Macleods	Cenxime 200 mg, Linezolid 600 mg
Morbicef-L	Intra labs	

Table 1: Marketed medicinal products

Table 2: Gradient program

Time (Minutes)	Mobile phase-A (%v/v)	Mobile phase-B (%v/v)
0.00	95	5
5.00	95	5
10.00	84	16
14.00	84	16
17.00	66	34
20.00	95	5
25.00	95	5

 Table 3: System suitability results

Injection	Ret	ention time (min)	Area							
	Cefixime	Ofloxacin	Linezolid	Cefixime	Ofloxacin	Linezolid					
1.	8.53	11.48	13.48	566504	216345	371025					
2.	8.52	11.48	13.47	567125	216314	370152					
3.	8.53	11.49	13.49	567314	216781	370145					
4.	8.54	11.48	13.47	559987	214987	371025					
5.	8.53	11.49	13.47	561046	215164	370146					
%RSD	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.63	0.37	0.13					
	Th	eoretical pla	tes	Tailing factor							
1.	5342	5468	5497	1.2	1.1	1.2					
2.	5216	5900	5682	1.1	1.3	1.2					
3.	5415	6102	5637	1.3	1.2	1.3					
4.	5701	5803	5429	1.2	1.4	1.1					
5.	5634	5269	5498	1.4	1.2	1.2					
Average	5461	5708	5548	1.24	1.24	1.20					
Peak purity Results											
Active component		Purity ang	e Purity t	hreshold	Peak purity	y Results					
Cefixime		0.330	0.	433	Pass						
Ofloxacin		0.161	0.	389	Pass						
Line	zolid	0.111	0.	256	Pass						

 Table 4: Precision and intermediate results

S No		Precision	% Assay		Intermediate precision % Assay			
3.NO.	Cefi.	Oflo.	Cefi.	Line.	Cefi.	Oflo.	Cefi.	Line.
1	99.8	101.2	100.6	101.3	101.3	101.2	101.0	100.6
2	101.2	100.4	101.3	100.8	100.5	100.7	100.6	101.0
3	100.6	101.2	100.4	100.4	100.6	100.2	100.4	101.3
4	100.1	99.9	99.9	100.8	99.6	101.0	100.8	100.8
5	99.9	100.1	100.3	101.4	100.6	100.7	99.9	100.4
6	100.8	101.6	100.8	101.3	100.3	100.1	100.5	100.1
Average	100.4	100.73	100.5	101	100.48	100.65	100.5	100.7
% RSD	0.54	0.65	0.47	0.49	0.55	0.37	0.25	0.42

Table 5: Specificity stress study conditions

Cefixime Ofloxacin sample	Cefixime Linezolid sample
Acid stress/1N-60°C/60 minutes	Acid stress/1N-60°C/60 minutes
Base Stress/1N- 60°C/2 hrs	Base Stress/1N- 60°C/2 hrs
Peroxide stress/3%- 60°C/1 hrs	Peroxide stress/3%- 60°C/1 hrs

Manchuru Vanaja et al.

Thermal (80°C for 6 hrs)	Thermal (80°C for 6 hrs)
UV energy of 200-watt hrs/ ² m	UV energy of 200-watt hrs/ ² m

Stress		Cefixime			Ofloxacin			Linezolid		
condition	Purity angle	Purity threshold	Pass/ fail	Purity angle	Purity Purity Pass/ angle threshold fail			Purity threshold	Pass/ fail	
Acid	0.332	0.412	Pass	0.113	0.251	Pass	0.121	0.236	Pass	
Base	0.315	0.421	Pass	0.116	0.261	Pass	0.131	0.250	Pass	
Peroxide	0.264	0.484	Pass	0.132	0.269	Pass	0.125	0.253	Pass	
Thermal	0.269	0.428	Pass	0.223	0.278	Pass	0.120	0.243	Pass	
UV	0.289	0.451	Pass	0.120	0.236	Pass	0.196	0.238	Pass	

Table 6: Specificity Results

Table 7: Specificity results

Book BT (min)	Cefixi	Cefixime and Ofloxacin samples degradation									
Peak RT (min)	Acid	Base	Peroxide	Thermal	UV						
4.1	1.45	1.48	1.36	NA	1.40						
6.4	1.61	1.50	1.42	1.41	NA						
17.8	1.30	1.43	NA	1.46	NA						
Cefixir	ne and L	inezolid.	samples deg	radation							
4.2	1.43	1.46	1.39	NA	1.40						
6.4	1.29	1.38	1.40	1.42	NA						
17.8	1.38	1.42	NA	1.40	1.39						

Table 8: Linearity results

Table 0. Enfeatity results											
Linearity lovel	Cef	ixime	Oflo	xacin	Linezolid						
Linearity level	Conc.	Area	Conc.	Area	Conc.	Area					
50%	5.21	125910	5.17	89910	15.48	155910					
75%	7.52	313236	7.49	150236	22.59	270236					
100%	10.10	565504	10.04	219345	28.9	375025					
125%	12.45	785681	12.48	290681	37.8	545681					
150%	15.2	1005610	15.15	375610	47.13	710610					
Correlation coefficient.	0.9993		0.9	9992	0.9994						

 Table 9: Accuracy samples preparations and recovery results

		Cefixime R	Recovery	Ofloxacin	Recovery	Linezolid Recovery	
Recovery level	Sample Prepn.	% Recovery	Mean recovery/ %RSD	% Recovery	Mean recovery/% RSD	% Recovery	Mean recovery/% RSD
	1	99.6		100.3		100.2	
	2	101.2		99.7		101.3	
50%	3	100.3	100.31	101.0	100.30/	100.4	100 40/0 51
50%	4	100.5	/0.55	100.3	0.47	99.9	100.40/0.31
	5	99.9		100.6		100.0	
	6	100.4		99.9		100.6	
	1	100.8	101.06	100.7	100.26/	100.1	
75%	2	101.0	/0.30	100.0	0.35	100.7	100.60/0.46
	3	101.4	70.30	100.4		101.0	
	1	99.9	100.26	100.6	100.63/	100.4	100.46/0.60
100%	2	100.2	/0.20	101.0		101.1	
	3	100.7	70.40	100.3	0.00	99.9	
	1	101.0	100 42/	100.6	100.63/	100.3	
125%	2	100.4	0.55	100.3	0.35	100.0	100.06/0.21
	3	99.9	0.55	101.0	0.55	99.9	I
	1	100.3		99.9		100.4	
	2	101.0		100.3		99.9	
150%	3	100.7	100.48	101.0	100.16/	100.3	100.28/0.32
130 %	4	100.6	/0.37	100.1	0.44	100.8	
	5	100.4		99.9		100.0	
	6	99.9		99.8		100.3	

	Room Temperature													
	C	efixime-Oflo	xacin sample	•	Ce	efixime-Line	ezolid sample	•						
Time	Cefiz	xime	Oflox	Ofloxacin		Cefixime		Linezolid						
interval	% Assay	% Diff.	% Assay	% Assay % Diff.		% Diff.	% Assay	% Diff.						
Initial-1	99.8	NIA	101.2	NIA	100.6	NIA	101.3	NIA						
Intial-2	101.2	NA NA	100.4	INA	101.3	INA	100.8	INA						
Day-1	100.2	0.4	100.4	0.8	100.9	0.3	100.3	1.0						
Day-1	100.4	0.8	100.8	0.4	100.0	1.3	100.6	0.2						
Day-3	101.1	1.3	101.0	0.2	100.4	0.2	100.0	1.3						
Day-3	100.5	0.7	100.6	0.2	100.6	0.7	100.5	0.3						

Table 10: Sample solution stability results

Table 11: Flow rate variation, temperature variation system suitability results

Variation	Robust Parameters		RT (min)	5 inj. Area %RSD	USP Plate Count avg.	USP Tailing avg.
	Actual	Cefi.	8.53	0.32	5681	1.12
	(1 Oml/min)	Oflo.	11.41	0.25	5490	1.01
	(1.0111/1111)	Line.	13.46	0.21	5389	1.10
	Low	Cefi.	8.63	0.40	5709	1.30
Flow variation	LOW (0.0ml/min)	Oflo.	11.56	0.34	6100	1.41
	(0.9111/1111)	Line.	13.64	0.29	6081	1.13
	High	Cefi.	8.32	0.32	5937	1.10
		Oflo.	11.34	0.41	5890	1.15
	(1.1111/11111)	Line.	13.40	0.29	5687	1.31
		Cefi.	8.62	0.31	5909	1.25
	Low 25°C	Oflo.	11.54	0.28	6012	1.01
Column oven temp.		Line.	13.52	0.43	6081	1.15
		Cefi.	8.29	0.40	5964	1.12
	High 35°C	Oflo.	11.35	0.36	5937	1.32
	-	Line.	13.40	0.30	6106	1.30

REFERENCES

- 1. Igawa G, Yamagishi Y, Lee KI, Dorin Shimuta K, Suematsu M. Η. Nakayama SI, Mikamo H, Unemo M and Ohnishi M. Neisseria cinerea with high ceftriaxone MIC is a source of ceftriaxone and cefixime resistancemediating penA sequences in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2018;62(3):e02069-17.
- 2. Whittles LK, White PJ and Didelot X. P005 Quantifying the fitness benefits and cost of cefixime-resistance in neisseria gonorrhoeae. Sex Transm Infect. 2017;93(Suppl 1):A19.
- Whittles LK, White PJ and Didelot X. Estimating the fitness cost and benefit of cefixime resistance in Neisseria gonorrhoeae to inform prescription policy: A modelling study. PLoS Med. 2017;14(10):e1002416.
- 4. Guglielmetti L, Varaine F, Huerga H, Bonnet M, Rich ML and Mitnick CD. Shortened multidrug-resistant tuberculosis treatment in settings with a high prevalence of ofloxacin

resistance. Eur Respir J. 2017;50(1):1700598.

- Pilehvar S, Reinemann C, Bottari F, Vanderleyden E, Van Vlierberghe S, Blust R, Strehlitz B and De Wael K. A joint action of aptamers and gold nanoparticles chemically trapped on a glassy carbon support for the electrochemical sensing of ofloxacin. Sens. Actuators B. 2017; 240:1024-35.
- Singh J, Dwivedi A, Chopra D, Singh KP, Srivastav A, Dubey D, Kumari S and Ray R. Ambient UV-B exposure attenuate the binding affinity of ofloxacin with bacterial DNA gyrase and induced apoptosis in human keratinocytes via Reactive Oxygen Species mediated pathway. Free Radical Biol Med. 2017;108:S19.
- Kempker RR, Heinrichs MT, Nikolaishvili K, Sabulua I, Bablishvili N, Gogishvili S, Avaliani Z, Little BP, Bernheim A, Derendorf H and Blumberg HM. A comparison of linezolid lung tissue concentrations among patients with drug-resistant

tuberculosis. Eur Respir J. 2018;51(2):1702166.

- Sandison T, De Anda C, Fang E, Das 8. and Prokocimer P. Clinical AF response of tedizolid versus linezolid in acute bacterial skin and skin infections structure by severity measure using a pooled analysis from two phase 3 double-blind trials. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2017:AAC-02687.
- Naga M, Kumar SR and Rao VU. RP-HPLC Method Development and Validation for Simultaneous Estimation of Linezolid and Cefixime in API and Pharmaceutical Dosage Form. Int J Pharma Res Health Sci. 2017;5(4):1731-35.
- Ghimire S, van Hateren K, Vrubleuskaya N, Koster R, Touw D and Alffenaar JW. Determination of levofloxacin in human serum using liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J Appl Bioanal. 2018;4(1):3152.

- 11. Hassouna ME, Abdelrahman MM and Mohamed MA. Validation of a Novel and Sensitive RP-HPLC Method for Simultaneous Determination of Trihydrate and Cefixime Sodium Benzoate in Powder for Oral Suspension Dosage Form. J Forensic Sci. 2017:2(4).
- 12. Rao J, Sethy K and Yadav S. Validated HPTLC method for simultaneous quantitation of cefixime and ofloxacin in bulk drug and in pharmaceutical formulation. Pharm Globale. 2011;2(4):1-4.
- 13. Prabhu S, Amirtharaj RV and Senthilkumar N. Simultaneous RP-HPLC method development and validation of cefixime and ofloxacin in tablet dosage form. J Asian Nat Prod Res. 2010;3(2):367-9.
- 14. Attimarad AB. Μ and Nair Simultaneous determination of ofloxacin and cefixime by first and ratio first derivative UV spectrophotometry. Chronicles of young scientists. 2011;2(3):144.