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ABSTRACT
The present work deals with adsorption of Malachite Green (MG) dyes carried out in the presence of Activated Gloriosa Superba stem Carbon (AGSC). Various parameters such like the dose of adsorbent, temperature and pH have been studied. The result reveals when the amount of adsorbent increases, the percentage removal of dye also increases. The applicability of pseudo first order and pseudo second order studies were also studied. The thermodynamics parameter such as ΔG₀, ΔH₀ and ΔS₀ is calculated. The adsorption capacities of Activated Gloriosa Superba stem Carbon (AGSC) is being calculated by using batch process.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Dyes can causes allergic, dermatitis, skin irritation, cancer, mutation, etc. In general dyes are unsuccessfully biodegradable and some of the dyes produce aromatic amine which is highly carcinogenic in nature¹². Many researchers have studied the feasibility of using inexpensive alternative materials like perral millet husk, date pits, saw dust, buffing dust of leather industries, coir pith, crude oil, residue, tropical grass, olive stone, pine bark, wool waste, coconut shell etc³⁴. The present study tries to evaluate the efficiency of an activated gloriosasuperba adsorbent in the removal of malachite green dyes from dye solution.

2. Experimental methods
2.1 Adsorbent
Gloriosasuperbastemis collected from the nearby Trichy district has carbonized with concentrated sulphuricacid and washed with water and activated around 1000°C in a muffle furnace for 6 hrs then it has been taken out and stored in a vacuum desiccators.

2.2 Adsorbate
The stock solution of malachite green concentration 1000 mg/L is prepared by dissolving 1 g of malachite green in 1000 ml of double distilled water. Different concentration of dye solutions which begin from the range of (50 to 250 mg/L) have been prepared from the stock solution by appropriate dilution.
2.3 Batch adsorption experiments
Batch adsorption is being tested by adding 25 mg of AGSC to 50 ml of the MG dye solution of different initial concentration (50 to 250 mg/L) at a particular pH. The experiment has been carried out by using a wrist action shaker for a period of 180 min and 120 rpm using 250 ml stopper glass flasks at (30°C to 60°C). The residual concentration of dyes in each sample after adsorption at different time intervals have determined by UV-Visible spectrophotometer. The equilibrium $q_e$ (mg/g) is calculated by the following mass balance principle.

$$q_e = \frac{(C_0 - C_e) V}{M} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (1)$$

Where $C_0$ and $C_e$ are the initial and equilibrium concentrations (mg/L) of dyes, $V$ is the volume (L), $M$ is the weight (g) of the adsorbent. The removal efficiency of the adsorbents on dyes is being calculated by using the following expression.

$$\%R = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) \times 100}{C_0} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (2)$$

2.4 Kinetic Experiments
The batch kinetic experiments are basically similar to those used in testing the adsorption equilibrium method. The dyes samples have taken at specific time intervals and the concentration of dyes is also similarly measured. The all kinetic experiments have carried out at 30, 40, 50 and 60°C with initial dye concentration (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mg/L) at the amount of adsorption at time $t$. The $q_t$ (mg/g) is calculated by.

$$q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) V}{M} \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots (3)$$

Where $C_0$ (mg/L) is the liquid phase concentration of dye occur at any time.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
3.1 Effect of adsorbent dosages
The effect of the AGSC doses datas were given in Table 1 and it was have studied at 30°C by varying the amount of adsorbent dose 50-250 mg for the initial concentration of 50 mg/L. Fig.1 reveals that the increase in percentage removal of MG dye with increases in dose of adsorbent due to the increase in adsorbent surface area and the availability of more adsorption sites.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent dose (mg)</th>
<th>% Removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig.1: Effect of adsorbent dose on the removal of MG dye
3.3 Effect of pH
The solution pH is one of the most important factors which control the adsorption of MG dye. To examine the effect of pH on the % removal of MG dye, the pH of initial solution is used that varied from 2.0 to 10.0 by the addition of sodium hydroxide or hydrochloric acid into them. When the % of removal increases, the pH also increases up to 6.5. There after the % of removal decreases. The optimum % of removal takes place at pH 6.5. So the remaining experiment has carried out at pH 6.5. The experiment's result is shown in table 2 and fig 2.

Table 2: Percentage removal of MG with different pH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Removal %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph showing effect of pH on removal of MG dye](image)

**Fig. 2: Effect of initial pH on the removal of MG dye**

[MG]=50mg/L; Temp:30°C; Contact time=50 min. Adsorbent dose = 25mg/ml

**Pseudo – first order model**
The pseudo – first order rate model equation given by Lagergren in 1898

\[
\frac{dq}{dt} = k_1(q_e - q) \tag{4}
\]

Where \(q_e\) is the amount of solute adsorbed at equilibrium per unit weight of adsorbent (mg/g), \(q\) is the amount of solute adsorbed at any time (mg/g) and \(k_1\) is the adsorption constant. The above equation is integrated for the boundary conditions \(t = 0\) to \(>\) (\(q = 0\) to \(>\)) and then rearranged to obtain the following linear time dependence function

\[
\log (q_e - q) = \log (q_e) - (k_1 \times 2.303) t
\]

This is the most popular form of pseudo-first order kinetic model equation. Fig 3. Shows an example for these plots. The correlation coefficients in this model have very poor correlation coefficients for best fit data. Therefore, it can be calculated that this model has satisfactory value to be predicated as
a suitable model constant $k_1$ and correlated coefficients for all possible changes have been calculated and summarized in table 3.

**Pseudo-second order model**

The rate constant for the adsorption of dye on to was determined by Lagergren equation this equation is modified by Ho and McKay and the differential equation for this reaction is

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = k_2 (q_c - q)^2$$

Integrating eq for the boundary conditions $t=0$ to $t>0$ and recharging to obtain the linearized

$$\frac{t}{q} = \left(\frac{1}{k_2 q_c^2}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{q_c}\right) t$$

From this equation slope and intercept can be calculated.

Fig 3. Shows an example for these plots

Therefore, it can be calculated that this model has no satisfactory value to be predicated as a suitable model constant $k_1$ and correlated coefficients compare with pseudo first order summarized in table 3

![Figure 3](image1)

![Figure 4](image2)

Fig. 3, 4: Pseudo-First Order and Pseudo second order kinetic plots for the adsorption of Malachite Green onto AGSC

The high correlations and coefficients of the pseudo – first order kinetic model over the other model rendered it best in adsorption of MG on AGSC. This confirms that the sorption data are well represented by the pseudo – first order kinetics for the entire sorption period.

**Thermodynamic study**

Thermodynamic parameter such as change in free energy ($\Delta G^0$) (KJ/mol), Enthalpy ($\Delta H^0$) (KJ/mol) and entropy ($\Delta S^0$) (JK/mol) has been calculated by using the following equation (7, 8) and (9)

$$K_0 = \frac{C_{solid}}{C_{liquid}} \quad \text{(4)}$$

$$\Delta G^0 = -RT \ln K_0 \quad \text{(5)}$$

$$\log K_0 = \frac{\Delta S^0}{2.303R} - \frac{\Delta H^0}{2.303RT} \quad \text{(6)}$$

![Table 3](image3)
Where $K_0$ is the equilibrium constant, $C_{\text{Solid}}$ is the solid phase concentration at equilibrium (mg/L), $C_{\text{Liquid}}$ is the liquid phase concentration at equilibrium (mg/L). $T$ is temperature in Kelvin and $R$ is the gas constant (8.314 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). A graph has drawn between ln$K_0$ vs $1/T$ and shown the following figures from 5 to 9. The $\Delta H^0$ and $\Delta S^0$ values obtained from the slope and intercept of Van’t Hoff plots. These values are given in Table 3. The negative $\Delta G^0$ indicates the adsorption is spontaneous in nature and also the magnitude of $\Delta G^0$ indicates the adsorption is physical adsorption (i.e., less than 70 KJ/mol). The value of $\Delta H^0$ is negative; this indicates the adsorption is exothermic process. The positive $\Delta S^0$ indicates an increased randomness during the adsorption. This also support the adsorption is a physical adsorption$^\dagger$.

### Table 3: Thermodynamic parameter for the adsorption of MG dye onto AGSC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MG (mg/L)</th>
<th>$K_0$</th>
<th>$\Delta G^0$</th>
<th>$\Delta H^0$</th>
<th>$\Delta S^0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>17.5797</td>
<td>-7.2217</td>
<td>-9.0229</td>
<td>-11.1016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>6.8492</td>
<td>-4.8471</td>
<td>-6.1373</td>
<td>-6.8411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>4.2624</td>
<td>-3.6523</td>
<td>-4.1663</td>
<td>-5.3194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>2.6069</td>
<td>-2.4137</td>
<td>-5.6175</td>
<td>-3.6353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>1.8893</td>
<td>-1.6026</td>
<td>-1.9050</td>
<td>-3.9434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs. 5,6,7,8,9: Thermodynamic parameter for the adsorption of MG dye onto AGSC
CONCLUSION
The adsorption characteristics of MG dye onto Activated Gloriosa Superba are strongly affected by initial pH and the adsorbent dose. The pH 6.5 was favorable for the optimum adsorption of MG dye by AGSC. The thermodynamics parameter $\Delta G^0$, $\Delta H^0$ values are negative so the reaction is spontaneous and exothermic and $\Delta S^0$ values indicate the adsorption is physical adsorption.
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